

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,664	05/11/2001	Kenji Dosaka	107348-00102	5105
4372	7590 06/27/2005		EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX PLLC			MAYEKAR, KISHOR	
1050 CONNE SUITE 400	CTICUT AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20036		1753	
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	~~		₽			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/852,664	DOSAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Kishor Mayekar	1753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N tatute, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6	09 June 2005.	·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-8</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 5-7 is/are		on.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		•			
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abe	/ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	l(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received ir	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have be	en received in this National Stage	•			
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· ·	w Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	′	lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050	0621			

Application/Control Number: 09/852,664

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee

set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since

this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the

fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous

Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission

filed on June 9, 2005 has been entered.

Status of claims and Arguments

2. Claims 1 and 8 are remaining and claims 2 and 5-7 are withdrawn.

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 8 have been considered

but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/852,664

Art Unit: 1753

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 5. over Kieser et al. (US 5,746,051). Kieser's invention is directed to a plasma reactor for treating exhaust gas from mobile equipment. Kieser discloses that the reactor operating by the principal of dielectrically silent discharge comprises a number of flat rectangular electrodes and a number of dielectric plates located between each pair of the electrodes (see abstract and Figs. 1 and 2). Since each of the dielectric plate is placed between a pair of electrodes of opposite polarities, their placement satisfies the recited formula. Kieser further discloses in col. 3, lines 21-25 that by applying a voltage of suitable frequency and amplitude, silent discharges are produced between electrodes and dielectric plates. The difference between Kieser and the above claims is that the reference is silent on the limitation of the average current density of the plasma. The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kieser's teachings because the limitation being a process limitation cannot be given any weight in a claimed apparatus.

Further, the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2d 1647 (1987) stated, "a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the structural limitations of the claimed." The device "does not undergo a metamorphosis to a new apparatus merely by affixing instructions thereto on the use."

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed May 9, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the new ground of rejection as set forth above.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,664

Art Unit: 1753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 1753